

Bowman-Bradley type identities for symmetrised MZV's

MZV Days at HIM

Steven Charlton

1. Background: finite MZV's and symmetrised MZV's

Finite MZV's defined by Hoffman, Zhao, and others as follows

$$\zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r) = \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_r < p} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_r^{k_r}} \pmod{p},$$

truncating before p in the denominator.

Zagier then considered all ζ_p simultaneously, modulo 'finite differences', to define:

$$\zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_r) := (\zeta_p(k_1, \dots, k_r) \pmod{p})_p \in \mathcal{A} := \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \Big/ \bigoplus_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$$

Since $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ diagonally, this is a \mathbb{Q} -algebra. These MZV's are defined for *all* $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, but get some mixing of weight

$$\zeta_p(-1, 3) = \sum_{0 < m < n < p} \frac{m}{n^3} = \sum_{0 < n < p} \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) \frac{1}{n^3} = \frac{1}{2} \zeta_p(1) - \frac{1}{2} \zeta_p(2)$$

Imposing $k_i \geq 1$ fixes this, and allows us to define the space of weight k finite MZV's, write $Z_{\mathcal{A},k}$. Experiments suggest

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} Z_{\mathcal{A},k} = \underbrace{d_{k-3}}_{\text{weight } k-3 \text{ usual MZV's}}$$

Comparing dimensions, via $d_{k-3} = d_k - d_{k-2}$ suggests maybe

$$Z_{\mathcal{A},k} \cong \underbrace{\mathcal{Z}}_{\text{usual MZV's}} / \pi^2 \mathcal{Z}$$

A suggestion for defining this isomorphism is via the symmetrised MZV's

$$\zeta^{S, \bullet}(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{k_{i+1} + \dots + k_r} \zeta^{\bullet}(k_1, \dots, k_i) \zeta^{\bullet}(k_r, \dots, k_{i+1}),$$

for $\bullet = \sqcup, *$ -regularisation.

Proposition 1.1 (Kaneko-Zagier).

$$\zeta^{S, \sqcup} - \zeta^{S, *} \in \pi^2 \mathcal{Z},$$

so $\zeta^S = \zeta^{S, \bullet} \pmod{\pi^2}$ is well defined.

Then conjectural isomorphism $Z_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}/\pi^2 \mathcal{Z}$ is given via

$$\zeta_S(k_1, \dots, k_r) \mapsto \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(k_1, \dots, k_r)$$

On the finite side, we have

Date: January 30, 2018.

Theorem 1.2 (Bowman-Bradley type - [SW16]). *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ be odd integers, and c_1, \dots, c_m be even integers, all ≥ 1 . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n^2} \zeta^{\mathcal{A}}(\{a_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\tau(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}, b_{\tau(n)}\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_1\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \dots \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_m\}) \\ &= \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n^2} \sum_{\rho \in S_m} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\{a_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\tau(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}, b_{\tau(n)}\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_{\rho(1)}, \dots, c_{\rho(m)}\}) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.3. Here $\widetilde{\sqcup}$ means shuffle of the MZV arguments (not the iterated integrals), i.e.

$$\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q\} = a_1(\{a_2, \dots, a_p\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q\}) + b_1(\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p\} \sqcup \{b_2, \dots, b_q\}).$$

For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\{2, 2\} \sqcup \{3, 5\}) &= \zeta(2, 2, 3, 5) + \zeta(2, 3, 2, 5) + \zeta(2, 3, 5, 2) \\ &\quad + \zeta(3, 2, 2, 5) + \zeta(3, 2, 5, 2) + \zeta(3, 5, 2, 2) \end{aligned}$$

Goal: corresponding result for symMZV's.

Remark 1.4. Some results already by Muneta (Kyushu MZV seminar)

$$\zeta^S(\{1, 3\}^n \widetilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^m) = \binom{m+n}{n} \frac{(-1)^n 2^{2m+2n+1}}{(2m+4n+2)!} \pi^{2m+4n} \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2}$$

(Here $\sqcup, *$ -regularisation are equal because there is no consecutive 1, 1 in the result.)

Murahara also has some unwritten results.

2. A general Bowman-Bradley ‘type’ identity

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ be odd integers, and c_1, \dots, c_m be even integers, all ≥ 1 . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n^2} \zeta^S(\{a_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\tau(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}, b_{\tau(n)}\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_1\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \dots \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_m\}) \\ &= \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n^2} \sum_{\rho \in S_m} \zeta^S(\{a_{\sigma(1)}, b_{\tau(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)}, b_{\tau(n)}\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_{\rho(1)}, \dots, c_{\rho(m)}\}) \\ &= \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n} \sum_{\substack{B=(B_1, \dots, B_k) \\ B \in \Pi_{\leq 2}(m)}} (-1)^n 2^{\#B} \zeta(\{a_{\sigma(1)} + b_{\tau(1)}, \dots, a_{\sigma(n)} + b_{\tau(n)}\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_{B_1}\} \widetilde{\sqcup} \dots \widetilde{\sqcup} \{c_{B_k}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Here we employ the following notation

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{\leq 2}(m) &:= \{ \text{all partitions } (B_1, \dots, B_k) \text{ of } \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ with } \#B_i \leq 2 \}, \text{ and} \\ c_{B_j} &:= \sum_{k \in B_j} c_k. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.2. *The result vanishes modulo π^2 , which matches the expectation under the $\zeta^{\mathcal{A}} \leftrightarrow \zeta^S$ correspondence.*

Proof. For arbitrary odd a_i, b_i , even c_i , we see the result is $0 \pmod{\pi^2}$: After summing over $(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n$, the ζ is symmetric in all arguments. Hence by the symmetric sum formula, we can write the result as a polynomial in

$$\zeta(\alpha_{\sigma, \tau, i, j}(a_{\sigma(i)} + \beta_{\tau(j)}) + \beta_k c_{B_k})$$

Since $a_i + b_j$ and $\sum_l c_l$ are all even, the result is an even zeta, which vanishes modulo π^2 . \square

Sketch of Theorem. Purely combinatorial, and by induction. Very similar to the finite case. Case $m = 0$ corresponds to the following stuffle-algebra identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^n z_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots z_{b_{\tau(i)}} * z_{b_{\tau(n)}} \cdots z_{a_{\sigma(i+1)}} - \sum_{i=1}^n z_{a_{\sigma(1)}} \cdots z_{a_{\sigma(i)}} * z_{b_{\tau(n)}} \cdots z_{b_{\tau(i)}} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{(\sigma, \tau) \in S_n^2} (-1)^n z_{a_{\sigma(1)+b_{\tau(1)}}} \cdots z_{a_{\sigma(n)+b_{\tau(n)}}} \end{aligned}$$

which is also proven by induction.

Then use the stuffle-product result

$$\zeta^S(\mathbb{k})\zeta^S(\mathbb{l}) = \zeta^S(\mathbb{k} * \mathbb{l}),$$

and relate this to $\tilde{\sqcup}$ as follows

$$\zeta^S(\mathbb{k}\tilde{\sqcup}\{c\}) = \zeta^S(\mathbb{k} * c) - \sum_i \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_i + c, \dots, k_n).$$

This allows us to shuffle in a single c at a time, to obtain the result. One obtains two level m versions with variables either $a_i/b_i + c_{m+1}$, or $a_i/b_i, c_j + c_{m+1}$. \square

3. Corollaries and evaluations

From this can set $a_i = a$, $b_i = b$, to obtain

Corollary 3.1 (Bowman-Bradley).

$$\zeta^S(\{a, b\}^n \tilde{\sqcup}\{c\}^m) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} (-1)^n 2^{m-2i} \zeta(\{a+b\}^n \tilde{\sqcup}\{c\}^{m-2i} \tilde{\sqcup}\{2c\}^i) = 0 \pmod{\pi^2}.$$

When $m = 0$, we obtain

$$\zeta^S(\{a, b\}^n) = (-1)^n \zeta(\{a+b\}^n),$$

which can be explicitly evaluated in each case using generating series results about $\zeta(\{\text{even}\}^n)$.

To go to higher m , we need to evaluate combinations like $\zeta(\{p\}^k \tilde{\sqcup}\{q\}^l \tilde{\sqcup}\{r\}^m)$. I'm not aware of any such results so far, but I can conjecture the following

Observation 3.2. For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the following evaluation appears to hold

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\{2\}^a \tilde{\sqcup}\{4\}^b \tilde{\sqcup}\{6\}^c) &= \frac{2^{1+2b+6c} (b+2c)! (1+a+2b+4c)!}{(1+2c)! a! b! (1+2b+4c)! (2+2a+4b+6c)!} \pi^{2a+4b+6c} \\ &= \frac{2^{1+2b+6c} \pi^{2a+4b+6c}}{(1+2c)(2+2a+4b+6c)!} \binom{b+2c}{2c} \binom{1+a+2b+4c}{a} \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.3. (Assuming the above is accurate), the following evaluations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(Muneta)} \quad \zeta^S(\{1, 3\}^n \tilde{\sqcup}\{2\}^m) &= \binom{m+n}{n} \frac{(-1)^n 2^{2m+2n+1}}{(2m+4n+2)!} \pi^{2m+4n} \\ \zeta^S(\{3, 3\}^n \tilde{\sqcup}\{2\}^m) &= \frac{1}{2n+1} \binom{2n+m}{m} \frac{(-1)^n 2^{2m+6n+1}}{(2m+6n+2)!} \pi^{2m+6n} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The resulting binomial sums can be evaluated using the WZ-method. \square

Remark 3.4. Not sure if there is a nice generating series proof of the above observation; the naïve generating series obtained by generalising the $\zeta(\{a\}^n)$ evaluation gives $\zeta(\{a\}^n)\zeta(\{b\}^l)$ type results instead.

Using the symmetric sum theorem, I can recursively reduce a proof of the above to proving the following Bernoulli identities, neither of which seems particularly easy to prove.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_a=0}^a \sum_{n_b=0}^b (-1)^{n_b} 2^{2n_a+2n_b} B_{4+2n_a+4n_b} \binom{6+2a+4b}{4+2n_a+4n_b} \binom{1+a+2b-n_a-2n_b}{a-n_a} \binom{n_a+n_b}{n_a} \\ &= \frac{-(b+1)}{2} \binom{3+a+2b}{a} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_a=0}^a \sum_{n_b=0}^b \sum_{n_c=0}^c \frac{(-1)^{n_b} 2^{2n_a+2n_b}}{1+2c-2n_c} B_{6+2n_a+4n_b+6n_c} \binom{8+2a+4b+6c}{6+2n_a+4n_b+6n_c} \\ & \quad \binom{1+a+2b+4c-n_a-2n_b-4n_c}{a-n_a} \binom{b+2c-n_b-2n_c}{2c-2n_c} \underbrace{\binom{n_a+n_b+n_c}{n_a, n_b, n_c}}_{\text{multinomial}} \\ &= \frac{2+2c}{3+2c} \binom{2+b+2c}{2+2c} \binom{5+a+2b+4c}{a} \end{aligned}$$

(Murahara recently suggested a different recursion, and reduces this to a certain binomial sum identity. Hopefully this is more accessible.)

Beyond $\zeta(2\tilde{\sqcup}4\tilde{\sqcup}6)$, one necessarily encounters $\zeta(8)$, and like the evaluation of $\zeta(\{8\}^n)$, these evaluations become more difficult to find and write.

Theorem 3.5. For $n \geq 0$, $R_{\pm} = 64(17 \pm 12\sqrt{2}) = 4^3(1 \pm \sqrt{2})^4$, and $\sigma: \sqrt{2} \mapsto -\sqrt{2}$ the Galois automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(\{8\}^n \tilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^0) &= \frac{\pi^{\text{wt}}}{(\text{wt}+4)!} \left\{ R_+^n \left((12 + 8\sqrt{2}) \right) \right\}^{\sigma \leftarrow \text{Galois symmetrisation}} \\ \zeta(\{8\}^n \tilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^1) &= \frac{\pi^{\text{wt}}}{(\text{wt}+4)!} \left\{ R_+^n \left((60 + 42\sqrt{2}) + n(80 + 56\sqrt{2}) \right) \right\}^{\sigma} \\ \zeta(\{8\}^n \tilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^2) &= \frac{\pi^{\text{wt}}}{(\text{wt}+4)!} \left\{ R_+^n \left((168 + 118\sqrt{2}) + n(440 + 310\sqrt{2}) + n^2(272 + 192\sqrt{2}) \right) \right\}^{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proven using $\zeta(\{8\}^n)$ as the base case, and summing up the Bernoulli sums using the generating series of Bernoulli polynomials. \square

Observation 3.6. One finds that $\zeta(\{8\}^n \tilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^m)$, m fixed, appears to satisfy a linear recurrence relation of order $2m+2$, whose characteristic equation factors as

$$(\lambda - R_+)^{m+1} (\lambda - R_-)^{m+1} = 0.$$

So by finding the first $2m+2$ instances, one obtains further candidate results like

$$\zeta(\{8\}^n \tilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^3) = \frac{\pi^{\text{wt}}}{(\text{wt}+4)!} \left\{ R_+^n \left((360 + \frac{1015}{4}\sqrt{2}) + n(\frac{3994}{3} + \frac{2819}{3}\sqrt{2}) + n^2(1608 + 1136\sqrt{2}) + n^3(\frac{1856}{3} + \frac{1312}{3}\sqrt{2}) \right) \right\}^{\sigma},$$

and a general form

$$\zeta(\{8\}^n \tilde{\sqcup} \{2\}^m) = \frac{\pi^{\text{wt}}}{(\text{wt}+4)!} \left\{ R_+^n \sum_{j=0}^m \alpha_j n^j \right\}^{\sigma},$$

some $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$.

Unfortunately, not clear what the pattern is coefficients is. Moreover, some coefficients have large prime factors dividing their norm:

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})} \left(\frac{3994}{3} + \frac{2819}{3}\sqrt{2} \right) = 2^1 \cdot 3^{-2} \cdot 17 \cdot 1721.$$

3.1. Miscellaneous results

It doesn't yet appear as if any analogue of cyclic insertion holds in general for symMZV's. Numerically, I have checked how Bowman-Bradley for $\zeta(\{1, 3\} \sqcup \{2\})$ decomposes into π^\bullet -pieces, but it doesn't seem so well structured yet.

However

Observation 3.7. The following evaluation

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \zeta^S(\{2\}^{a_{\sigma(1)}}, 3, \{2\}^{a_{\sigma(2)}}, 3, \dots, 3, \{2\}^{a_{\sigma(2n+1)}}) = 2^{\text{wt}+1} \frac{\pi^{\text{wt}}}{(\text{wt} + 2)!}$$

appears to hold.

So there might be something interesting here...

The proof of 'generalised Bowman-Bradley' for ζ^S should give directly a different generalisation when c_i are arbitrary, and $n = 0$. Namely

$$\sum \zeta^S(\{c_1\} \tilde{\sqcup} \dots \tilde{\sqcup} \{c_m\}) = \sum_{\substack{B = (B_1, \dots, B_k) \\ B \in \Pi_{\leq 2}(m)}} \prod_j (1 + (-1)^{c_{B_j}}) \cdot \zeta(\{c_{B_1}\} \tilde{\sqcup} \dots \tilde{\sqcup} \{c_{B_k}\}),$$

where $c_{B_j} = \sum_{i \in B_j} c_i$. Note, in particular, that if any c_{B_j} is odd, the term vanishes. So one could write this as a sum over all partitions $B \in \Pi_{\leq 2}(m)$ such that every $c_{B_i} = 0 \pmod{2}$.

Moreover, one can probably give a common generalisation (naturally with a more complicated expression), of these two results, to arbitrary a, b, c .

Nevertheless, one can give results like

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^S(\{1\} \tilde{\sqcup}^2 \tilde{\sqcup} \{3\} \tilde{\sqcup}^{2n}) \\ &= 2^{n+1} (2n-1)!! n! (\zeta(\{2\} \tilde{\sqcup} \{6\}^n) + 4\zeta(\{4, 4\} \tilde{\sqcup} \{6\}^{n-1})) \\ &= 2^{2+7n} (2+n) \frac{n!(-1+2n)!!}{(4+6n)!} \pi^{2+6n} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta^S(\{3\} \tilde{\sqcup}^2 \tilde{\sqcup} \{5\} \tilde{\sqcup}^{2n}) \\ &= 2^{n+1} (2n-1)!! n! (\zeta(\{6\} \tilde{\sqcup} \{10\}^n) + 4\zeta(\{8, 8\} \tilde{\sqcup} \{10\}^{n-1})) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^2} \end{aligned}$$

References

- [SW16] Shingo Saito and Wakabayashi. "Bowman-Bradley type theorem for finite multiple zeta values". In: *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* 68.2 (2016), pp. 241–251.